

to import products from overseas to westernize their menus, must be reanalyzed. The social sustainability aspect must also receive more attention, particularly among the foodservice owners focused on promoting a plant-based concept. It is important to recognize that not all individuals will have the financial capability to make such sustainability-oriented choices, particularly in countries with large income gaps. To increase education on sustainable development, integrating sustainable and healthy food consumption into the school curriculum could help future generations to develop knowledge and understanding of the benefits of sustainable development at a young age, helping them to act accordingly.

The absence of local Thai customers reinstates the concern of income disparities and education inequalities. Persuading Thais to be customers can be perceived as an opportunity for businesses to escape from their

niche position and have a broader influence on the entire food system, ultimately helping to transform the entire food system towards more sustainability. Although the sustainability-oriented foodservice industry remains a niche market, it has the potential to grow along the lines of Thailand becoming an industrialized country. However, to make sustainable practice more common, political action must ensue. For example, the government could instigate an agency to develop sustainable business models and disseminate this information to interested entrepreneurs. Such an agency could grant tax privileges for the implementation of a sustainable business model, for example. Thus, in addition to education, political support for sustainable niche movements and overarching policy goals and frameworks (such as the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and its Sustainable Development Goals) are required to transform our food systems sustainably.

References

- Bangkok Post Public Company Limited (2022, October 1). *Plant-based meat innovations in demand*. <https://www.bangkokpost.com/business/2404703/plant-based-meat-innovations-in-demand>
- Boyce, C. & Neale, P. (2006). *Conducting in-depth interviews: a guide for designing and conducting in-depth interviews for evaluation input*. Undefined. <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Conducting-in-depth-interviews%3A-a-guide-for-and-for-Boyce-Neale/17d92c3d9ca9aff1a763e57edf39058798a57a9b>
- Campbell, B., Khachatryan, H., Behe, B., Dennis, J. & Hall, C. Consumer Perceptions of Eco-friendly and Sustainable Terms. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review*, 44(1203-2016-96053). <https://doi.org/10.22004/ag.econ.200994>
- Chen, Y.-S. & Chang, C.-H. (2013). Greenwash and Green Trust: The Mediation Effects of Green Consumer Confusion and Green Perceived Risk. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 114(3), 489-500. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23433794>

- Dahl, R. (2010). Green washing: Do you know what you're buying?. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118(6), A246-52. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.118-a246>
- Dirk, A., Fischer, C., Barth, R., Griesshammer, R., Keimeyer, F. & Wolff, F. (2013). *When less is more: Sufficiency- Need and options for policy action*. <https://www.oeko.de/oekodoc/1880/2013-008-en.pdf>
- Edwards, J. S. & Causa, H. (2009). What is food service?. *Journal of Foodservice*, 20(1), 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-0159.2008.00122.x>
- El Bilali, H. (2019). The Multi-Level Perspective in Research on Sustainability Transitions in Agriculture and Food Systems: A Systematic Review. *Agriculture*, 9(4), 74. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9040074>
- Ellen Macarthur Foundation. (2015). *Towards the circular economy*. https://www.werktrends.nl/app/uploads/2015/06/Rapport_McKinsey-Towards_A_Circular_Economy.pdf
- FAO. (2010). *International scientific symposium biodiversity and sustainable diets united against hunger*. <http://www.fao.org/ag/humannutrition/28507-0e8d8dc364ee46865d5841c48976e9980.pdf>
- FAO. (2014). *SustainableLocalProcurement_Factsheet_ENGLISH*. http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/user_upload/nr/sustainability_pathways/docs/SustainableLocalProcurement_Factsheet_ENGLISH.pdf
- Food Intelligence Center Thailand. (2019). ศูนย์วิจัยระยะเพื่ออุตสาหกรรมอาหาร. http://fic.nfi.or.th/info_graphic_detail.php?id=28
- Funk, A., Sütterlin, B. & Siegrist, M. (2021). Consumer segmentation based on Stated environmentally-friendly behavior in the food domain. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 25, 173-186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.08.010>
- Geels, F. W. (2002). Technological transitions as evolutionary reconfiguration processes: a multi-level perspective and a case-study. *Research Policy*, 31(8-9), 1257-1274. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333\(02\)00062-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(02)00062-8)
- Geels, F. W. (2004). From sectoral systems of innovation to socio-technical systems. *Research Policy*, 33(6-7), 897-920. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2004.01.015>
- Geels, F. W. & Schot, J. (2007). Typology of sociotechnical transition pathways. *Research Policy*, 36(3), 399-417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2007.01.003>
- Genus, A. & Coles, A.-M. (2008). Rethinking the multi-level perspective of technological transitions. *Research Policy*, 37(9), 1436-1445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2008.05.006>
- Green Business Network. (2020). *Green Restaurant Association*. <https://www.greenamerica.org/green-business/green-restaurant-association>

- Hansmann, R., Baur, I. & Binder, C. R. (2020). Increasing organic food consumption: An integrating model of drivers and barriers. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 275, 123058. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123058>
- Hess, A.-K., Samuel, R. & Burger, P. (2018). Informing a social practice theory framework with social-psychological factors for analyzing routinized energy consumption: A multivariate analysis of three practices. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 46, 183-193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.06.012>
- Jitnarin, N., Kosulwat, V., Rojroongwasinkul, N., Boonpradern, A., Haddock, C. K. & Poston, W. S. C. (2011). Prevalence of overweight and obesity in Thai population: Results of the National Thai Food Consumption Survey. *Eating and Weight Disorders : EWD*, 16(4), e242-9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03327467>
- Jiumpanyarach, W. (2018). The impact of social trends: teenagers' attitudes for organic food market in Thailand. *International Journal of Social Economics*, 45(4), 682-699. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eme/ijsepp/ijse-01-2017-0004.html>
- Kamb, A., Svenfelt, Å., Carlsson-Kanyama, A., Parekh, V. & Bradley, B. (2019). *Att äta hållbart? En kartläggning av vad hållbar matkonsumtion kan innebära*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/337675392_Att_ata_hallbart_En_kartlaggning_av_vad_hallbar_matkonsumtion_kan_innebara
- Kantamaturapoj, K. & Marshall, A. (2020). Providing organic food to urban consumers: Case studies of supermarkets in Bangkok and metropolitan area. *Heliyon*, 6(5), e04003. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04003>
- Kantamaturapoj, K., Oosterveer, P., & Spaargaren, G [Gert]. (2012). *Emerging market for sustainable food in Bangkok*. <https://library.wur.nl/WebQuery/wurpubs/fulltext/250505>
- Keller, M., Sahakian, M. & Hirt, L. F. (2022). Connecting the multi-level-perspective and social practice approach for sustainable transitions. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 44, 14-28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2022.05.004>
- Klemeš, J. J., van Fan, Y. & Jiang, P. (2020). *Plastics: friends or foes? The circularity and plastic waste footprint*. *Energy Sources, Part a: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2020.1801906>
- Lachman, D. A. (2013). A survey and review of approaches to study transitions. *Energy Policy*, 58(C), 269-276. https://econpapers.repec.org/article/eeeeenepol/v_3a58_3ay_3a2013_3ai_3ac_3ap_3a269-276.htm
- Laidley, T. M. (2013). The Influence of Social Class and Cultural Variables on Environmental Behaviors. *Environment and Behavior*, 45(2), 170-197. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916511416647>

- Lehikoinen, E. & Salonen, A. (2019). Food Preferences in Finland: Sustainable Diets and their Differences between Groups. *Sustainability*, 11(5), 1259. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11051259>
- Liu, C., Mao, C., Bunditsakulchai, P., Sasaki, S. & Hotta, Y. (2020). Food waste in Bangkok: Current situation, trends and key challenges. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 157, 104779. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104779>
- Longhurst, R. (2009). Interviews: In-Depth, Semi-Structured. In R. Kitchin & N. J. Thrift (Eds.), *International encyclopedia of human geography* (pp. 580-584). Elsevier Science. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-008044910-4.00458-2>
- Markoni, E. & Götze, F. (2020). *Postwachstumsstadt: Konturen einer solidarischen Stadtpolitik*. Postwachstumsstadt: Konturen einer solidarischen Stadtpolitik. oekom. <https://arbor.bfh.ch/11548/>
- Maynard, D., Vidigal, M., Farage, P., Zandonadi, R., Nakano, E. & Botelho, R. (2020). Environmental, Social and Economic Sustainability Indicators Applied to Food Services: A Systematic Review. *Sustainability*, 12(5), 1804. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12051804>
- Mayring, P. (2014). *Qualitative Content Analysis*. https://www.psychopen.eu/fileadmin/user_upload/books/mayring/ssoar-2014-mayring-Qualitative_content_analysis_theoretical_foundation.pdf
- Nemes, G., Chiffolleau, Y., Zollet, S., Collison, M., Benedek, Z., Colantuono, F., Dulrud, A., Fiore, M., Holtkamp, C., Kim, T.-Y., Korzun, M., Mesa-Manzano, R., Reckinger, R., Ruiz-Martínez, I., Smith, K., Tamura, N., Viteri, M. L. & Orbán, É. (2021). *The impact of COVID-19 on alternative and local food systems and the potential for the sustainability transition: Insights from 13 countries*. Sustainable Production and Consumption. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2021.06.022>
- Nuttavuthisit, K. & Thøgersen, J. (2015). The Importance of Consumer Trust for the Emergence of a Market for Organic Food: The Case of Thailand. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2550049>
- Ocean Conservancy. (2017). *Stemming the Tide: Land-based strategies for a plastic-free ocean*. <https://oceanconservancy.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/full-report-stemming-the.pdf>
- Phongpaichit, P. & Baker, C. (2016). *Unequal Thailand: Aspects of income, wealth, and power*. NUS Press.
- Pritchett, L. (2020, January 28). *Thailand's Largest Meat Supplier Is Launching a Vegan Food Range*. <https://www.livekindly.co/thailands-largest-meat-supplier-vegan-food/>
- Saari, U. A., Herstatt, C., Tiwari, R., Dedehayir, O. & Mäkinen, S. J. (2021). The vegan trend and the microfoundations of institutional change: A commentary on food producers' sustainable innovation journeys in Europe. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 107, 161-167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2020.10.003>

- Sahakian, M. (2021). *Sustainable consumption and social change: a social practice approach*. Zenodo. <https://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:158141> <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5113464>
- Sarmiento, C. V. & El Hanandeh, A. (2018). Customers' perceptions and expectations of environmentally sustainable restaurant and the development of green index: The case of the Gold Coast, Australia. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 15, 16-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2018.04.001>
- Scarborough, P., Appleby, P. N., Mizdrak, A., Briggs, A. D. M., Travis, R. C., Bradbury, K. E. & Key, T. J. (2014). Dietary greenhouse gas emissions of meat-eaters, fish-eaters, vegetarians and vegans in the UK. *Climatic Change*, 125(2), 179-192. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-014-1169-1>
- Schäfer, M., Hielscher, S., Haas, W., Hausknost, D., Leitner, M., Kunze, I. & Mandl, S. (2018). Facilitating Low-Carbon Living? A Comparison of Intervention Measures in Different Community-Based Initiatives. *Sustainability*, 10(4), 1047. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10041047>
- Schubert, F., Kandampully, J., Solnet, D. & Kralj, A. (2010). Exploring consumer perceptions of green restaurants in the US. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 10(4), 286-300. <https://doi.org/10.1057/thr.2010.17>
- Setboonsarng, S., Leung, P. & Cai, J. (2006). Contract Farming and Poverty Reduction: The Case of Organic Rice Contract Farming in Thailand. In J. Weiss, H. Khan, & H. A. Khan (Eds.), *Poverty strategies in Asia: A growth plus approach*. Edward Elgar. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781847203168.00016>
- Shove, E., Pantzar, M. & Watson, M. (2012). *The Dynamics of Social Practice: Everyday Life and How it Changes*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446250655>
- Shreck, A., Getz, C. & Feenstra, G. (2006). Social sustainability, farm labor, and organic agriculture: Findings from an exploratory analysis. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 23(4), 439-449. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-006-9016-2>
- Sirikeratikul, S. (2019). *Food Service- Hotel Restaurant Institutional*. https://apps.fas.usda.gov/newgainapi/api/Report/DownloadReportByFileName?fileName=Food%20Service%20-%20Hotel%20Restaurant%20Institutional_Bangkok_Thailand_09-30-2019
- Spaargaren, G [G.], & van Koppen, C. S. A. K. (2009). Provider Strategies and the Greening of Consumption Practices: Exploring the Role of Companies in Sustainable Consumption. In L. Meier & H. Lange (Eds.), *The New Middle Classes* (pp. 81-100). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9938-0_5

- Spurling, N. J., McMeekin, A., Southerton, D., Shove, E. A. & Welch, D. (2013). Interventions in practice: Reframing policy approaches to consumer behaviour. In *Sustainable Practices Research Group*. <https://eprints.lancs.ac.uk/id/eprint/85608/>
- Suchada, P., Tränkler, J., Cholada, K. & Schöll, W. (2003). *The role of formal and informal sectors in solid waste management of developing countries*. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.538.2025&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Tiemann, I., Breuer, H., Fichter, K. & Lüdeke Freund, F. (2018). Sustainability-oriented business model development: principles, criteria and tools. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Venturing*, 10(2), Article 10013801, 256. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJEV.2018.10013801>
- Ueasangkomsate, P. & Santiteerakul, S. (2016). A Study of Consumers' Attitudes and Intention to Buy Organic Foods for Sustainability. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*, 34, 423-430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2016.04.037>
- Un-Habitat. (2008). *State of the world's cities: Harmonious cities*. Earthscan; UN-HABITAT.
- Wild, F., Czerny, M., Janssen, A. M. & al, e. (2014). The evolution of a plant-based alternative to meat - from niche markets to widely accepted meat alternatives. *Agro-Food-Industry Hi-Tech*, 25(Nr.1), 45-49.
- Wissink, B. & Hazelzet, A. (2016). Bangkok living: Encountering others in a gated urban field. *Cities*, 59, 164-172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2016.08.016>
- Wongsaichia, S., Naruetharadhol, P., Schrank, J., Phoomsom, P., Sirisoonthonkul, K., Paiyasen, V., Srichaingwang, S. & Ketkaew, C. (2022). Influences of Green Eating Behaviors Underlying the Extended Theory of Planned Behavior: A Study of Market Segmentation and Purchase Intention. *Sustainability*, 14(13), 8050. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14138050>
- Zanella, M. A. (2020). On the challenges of making a sustainable kitchen: Experimenting with sustainable food principles for restaurants. *Research in Hospitality Management*, 10(1), 29-41.



The Development of a Prototype Area of Khok Nong Na, an Intelligent Model via Smartphone Using the Internet of Things as a Base for Community Participation Kut Chum Saeng Subdistrict Nong Bua Daeng District Chaiphum Province

Journal of Organizational Innovation & Culture, 15(2), 100-112.

ISSN: 2822-0862 (Online) ISSN: 2822-0854 (Print)

<https://skjournal.msu.ac.th>

Received (5 March 2024) : Revised (26 March 2024) : Accepted (7 May 2024)

Tinnikon Samerchok^{1*}, Krisana Phatpheng² and Thanakorn Sangkudluo³

¹ Computer Science Technician, Faculty of Liberal Arts and Science Chaiphum Rajabhat University

² Human resource, human resource management Chaiphum Rajabhat University

³ Computer Science Technician, Faculty of Education Naresuan University

* Corresponding author: Tinnikon Samerchok. email: uji2830@gmail.com (Samerchok. T.)

Citation

Samerchok. T., Phatpheng. K. and Sangkudluo. T. (2024). The Development of a prototype area of Khok Nong Na, an intelligent model via smartphone using the Internet of things as a base for community participation Kut Chum Saeng Subdistrict Nong Bua Daeng District Chaiphum Province. *Journal of Organizational Innovation & Culture*, 15(2), 100-112.

Abstract

This research is the development of a smart model Khok Nong Na prototype area through smartphones using the Internet of things as a community participation base. Kut Chum Saeng Subdistrict Nong Bua Daeng District Chaiphum Province It is research and development. The objectives are to 1) manage knowledge on soil and water resource management for the Khok Nong Na Model prototype area, 2) develop the Khok Nong Na Smart Model prototype via smartphone. 3) evaluate the quality of the Smart Khok Nong Na Model prototype via smartphone, and 4) transfer knowledge of the Smart Khok Nong Na Model prototype. via smartphone. The researcher divided the operation into 3 phases as follows: Phase 1: Knowledge management of soil and water resource management. For the Khok Nong Na Model prototype area Results of interviews with 6 experts and group discussions with model farmers. Those who allocated Khok Nong Na and those interested, totaling 20 people, found that they received the knowledge used to transfer, namely the principles of soil and water resource management. Phase 2: Development of the Khok Nong Na prototype, an intelligent model through the smartphone three systems have been developed according to actual conditions, consisting of 1) development of water pumping with solar energy, 2) soil health measurement system, and 3) automatic watering system which

uses 100 percent solar energy. There are prototype quality evaluation results. Overall, it is at a very good level. (\bar{X} =4.29, S.D.=0.22). And in phase 3, knowledge transfer of the Khok Nong Na Smart Model prototype via smartphones, it was found that there were participants in the knowledge transfer training. A total of 245 people were satisfied with the transfer and expansion of knowledge from the Khok Nong Na Model. Overall, it was at the highest level (\bar{X} =4.55, S.D.=0.46) respectively.

Keywords: Prototype area, Khok Nong Na smart model, Internet of things, Smartphones

การพัฒนาพื้นที่ต้นแบบโคกหนองนาโมเดลอัจฉริยะผ่านสมาร์ทโฟนโดยใช้ อินเทอร์เน็ตทุกสรรพสิ่งเป็นฐานแบบมีส่วนร่วมของชุมชน ตำบลกุดชุมแสง อำเภอนองบัวแดง จังหวัดชัยภูมิ

ทินนิกร เสมอโชค^{1*}, กฤษณา พัฒเพ็ง² และ ธนากร แสงกุดเลาะ³

^{1*} นักวิชาการคอมพิวเตอร์, คณะศิลปศาสตร์และวิทยาศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยราชภัฏชัยภูมิ

² บุคลากร, งานบริหารทรัพยากรบุคคล มหาวิทยาลัยราชภัฏชัยภูมิ

³ นักวิชาการคอมพิวเตอร์, คณะศึกษาศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยนครสวรรค์

อ้างอิง

ทินนิกร เสมอโชค, กฤษณา พัฒเพ็ง และ ธนากร แสงกุดเลาะ. (2567). การพัฒนาพื้นที่ต้นแบบโคกหนองนาโมเดลอัจฉริยะผ่านสมาร์ทโฟนโดยใช้อินเทอร์เน็ตทุกสรรพสิ่งเป็นฐานแบบมีส่วนร่วมของชุมชน ตำบลกุดชุมแสง อำเภอนองบัวแดง จังหวัดชัยภูมิ. *วารสารนวัตกรรมและวัฒนธรรมองค์การ*, ปีที่ 15(2), หน้า 100-112.

บทคัดย่อ

การวิจัยนี้เป็นการพัฒนาพื้นที่ต้นแบบโคกหนองนาโมเดลอัจฉริยะผ่านสมาร์ทโฟน โดยใช้ อินเทอร์เน็ตทุกสรรพสิ่งเป็นฐานแบบมีส่วนร่วมของชุมชน ตำบลกุดชุมแสง อำเภอนองบัวแดง จังหวัดชัยภูมิ เป็นการวิจัยและพัฒนา มีวัตถุประสงค์เพื่อ 1) จัดการความรู้การบริหารจัดการทรัพยากรดินและน้ำ สำหรับพื้นที่ต้นแบบโคกหนองนาโมเดล 2) พัฒนาต้นแบบโคกหนองนาโมเดลอัจฉริยะผ่านสมาร์ทโฟน 3) ประเมินคุณภาพต้นแบบโคกหนองนาโมเดลอัจฉริยะผ่านสมาร์ทโฟน และ 4) ถ่ายทอดองค์ความรู้ต้นแบบโคกหนองนาโมเดลอัจฉริยะผ่านสมาร์ทโฟนโดยผู้วิจัยได้แบ่งการดำเนินงานออกเป็น 3 ระยะ ดังนี้ ระยะที่ 1 การจัดการความรู้การบริหารจัดการทรัพยากรดินและน้ำ สำหรับพื้นที่ต้นแบบโคกหนองนาโมเดล ผลการสัมภาษณ์ผู้ทรงคุณวุฒิ จำนวน 6 คน และสนทนากลุ่มจากเกษตรกรต้นแบบ ผู้ที่จัดสรรพื้นที่โคกหนองนา และผู้ที่สนใจ จำนวน 20 คน พบว่า ได้รับองค์ความรู้ที่ใช้ในการถ่ายทอด คือ หลักการบริหารจัดการทรัพยากรดินและน้ำ ระยะที่ 2 การพัฒนาต้นแบบ โคก หนอง นา โมเดลอัจฉริยะผ่านสมาร์ทโฟน ได้พัฒนาขึ้นตามสภาพจริง จำนวน 3 ระบบ ประกอบด้วย 1) การพัฒนาระบบสูบน้ำด้วยพลังงานแสงอาทิตย์ 2) ระบบวัดความสมบูรณ์ของดิน และ 3) ระบบรดน้ำอัตโนมัติ ซึ่งใช้พลังงานจากแสงอาทิตย์ 100 เปอร์เซ็นต์ ผลการประเมินคุณภาพต้นแบบโดยภาพรวมอยู่ในระดับดีมาก ($\bar{X}=4.29$, S.D.=0.22) และระยะที่ 3 การถ่ายทอดองค์ความรู้ต้นแบบโคกหนองนาโมเดลอัจฉริยะผ่านสมาร์ทโฟน พบว่า มีผู้เข้าร่วมอบรมถ่ายทอดองค์ความรู้ ทั้งสิ้น จำนวน 245 คน มีความพึงพอใจที่มีต่อการถ่ายทอดและขยายผลองค์ความรู้ต้นแบบโคกหนองนาโมเดล โดยภาพรวมอยู่ในระดับมากที่สุด ($\bar{X}=4.55$, S.D.=0.46) ตามลำดับ

คำสำคัญ: พื้นที่ต้นแบบ, โคกหนองนาโมเดลอัจฉริยะ, อินเทอร์เน็ตทุกสรรพสิ่ง, สมาร์ทโฟน